

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,501	11/21/2003	William E. Eisele	P24110	7618	
7055	7590 09/14/200	4	EXAMINER		
	GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			NGUYEN, CHAU N	
	ND CLARKE PLACE /A 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11251011,			2831		

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

er jan 1996 - Principal de la companya de la compa			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/717,501	EISELE, WILLIAM E.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	J
	Chau N Nguyen	2831	h
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	) July 2004.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with description 5) Claim(s) 7-19 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1 and 20 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 2-6 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	d/or election requirement.  iner.  accepted or b) □ objected to by the he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/717,501

Art Unit: 2831

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cunningham et al. (3,717,717) in view of McLeod (5,684,274).

Cunningham et al. discloses a thermal shield and hermetic seal for a spliced portion of cable, comprising a plurality of insulated conductors, the thermal shield and hermetic seal protecting the spliced portion against exposure to heat, oxygen and ultraviolet radiation, and comprising a sheet of non-rigid conductive material (36) wrapped over at least one exposed insulated conductor of the spliced portion of the cable, and the sheet of conductive material contacting the at least one exposed insulated conductor, a pressure wrap (12) wrapped over the sheet of conductive material.

Cunningham et al. does not disclose the conductive material being aluminum nor a rigid enclosure housing the sheet of aluminum material and the pressure wrap

Art Unit: 2831

(re claims 1 and 20). Although not specifically disclosed, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use aluminum for the conductive sheet (36) of Cunningham et al. since aluminum is a well-known conductive material.

McLeod discloses a rigid enclosure for housing a cable splice. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to enclose the aluminum sheet and the pressure wrap of Cunningham et al. with the enclosure of McLeod to further protect the splice from the environment.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 7-19 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: amended claim 7 could have been rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,703,563 (Eisele). However, the applicant has filed a Terminal Disclaimer, on July 29<sup>th</sup> 2004, which is proper and has been recorded.

Art Unit: 2831

Accordingly, claims 2-19 are allowed in view of the Terminal Disclaimer filed on July 29<sup>th</sup> 2004.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed July 29<sup>th</sup> 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding claims 1 and 20, applicant argues that the hollow tube (12) of Cunningham et al. is not a "pressure wrap" or "stretch wrap" that is wrapped around the layer of non-rigid conductive material. This argument is not found persuasive. Although the tube (12) of Cunningham et al. is just a hollow tube, it does wrap around the layer (36) under pressure (applied heat), and the layer (36) of Cunningham et al., in fact, is a sheet of non-rigid conductive material (see Cunningham et al., col. 3, lines 8-9).

## Summary

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the

Application/Control Number: 10/717,501 Page 5

Art Unit: 2831

advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,501

Art Unit: 2831

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chau N Nguyen
Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 2831